Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property a Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Offi

MAILED

James H. Salter Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor

MAR 1 0 2003

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1999

Paper No. 6

In re application of Peter M. Dickstein et al. Application No. 09/752,650

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

Filed: December 29, 2000

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD TO

ORGANIZE AND MANAGE CORPORATE CAPITALIZATION

AND SECURITIES

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL (INFRINGEMENT)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R § 1.102(d) filed December 24, 2002 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed December 24, 2002 lacks requirement 2(C), above. In view of this deficiency, the petition is **DISMISSED**.

The applicant, assignee or attorney in addition to stating that a careful search of the prior art has been made, or having good knowledge of the prior art, **must** submit the **most closely** related pieces of prior art. Since "most closely related" is the **best** of the art found, a submission of art is always required, even if the applicant feels that it is not all that related to the invention.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within TWO MONTHS of the date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. Should petitioner desire reconsideration, he should supplement this petition by a declaration or statement giving the information as outlined above.

Applicant should promptly submit a renewed petition to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. The envelope should indicate that the correspondence be brought to the attention of Technology Center 3600.

Until the renewed petition is submitted, the application will be returned to the examiner's docket to await treatment on the merits in the normal order of examination.

SUMMARY: Petition to Make Special DISMISSED.

Randolph'A. Reese

Special Programs Examiner Technology Center 3600

(703) 308-2121

(703) 605-0586 (facsimile)

RAR/tpl: 2/28/03